Rubrics for Final Year Project Report

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria | Score - 8 to 10 | Score - 4 to 7 | Score - 1 to 3 |
| Chapter # 01  Introduction    Weight: 10% | * The project’s objectives are relevant, clearly and thoroughly stated. * Project’s motivation has been clearly established by relating the project to related work. |  The motivation for pursuing the project, and the project objectives are clearly stated but miss some necessary details/information about previous related work. | * The project’s objectives are vaguely stated and hard to grasp/relate. * The motivation of the project is irrelevant or vague. |
| Chapter # 02  Literature Survey    Weight: 15% | * Prior work clearly refers to sources for theories, assumptions, quotations, and findings. * Latest and complete   References are mentioned (author, journal, volume number, page number, and year). | * References to prior work about the problem were provided, but with limited clarity and missing context. * All references are properly referred but majority of them are old/outdated. | * Prior work is mentioned with little or no literature survey. * References are poor, old, not up to date, unclear improper and not properly referred (incomplete, incorrect, too few etc.). |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Chapter # 03  Design (Systems  Requirements  /Specifications)    Weight: 20% | * Design approach is clearly described, with detailed explanation of the problem using state-of-the-art design models. * Strong data/evidence provided, with design choices explicitly mentioned and justified. | * Design approach is vaguely described, missing some necessary details (e.g., some key design model) * Design choices are vaguely described, with incomplete data/ justification. | * Design approach is unclear and inconsistent with any standard design model. * No data or justification provided for various design choices made. |
| Chapter # 04  Proposed Solution  (Methodology,  Implementation)    Weight: 20% | * Proposed solution is logical, justifiable and workable within the given timeline. * Methodology is concise, to the point and thoroughly explained. * Constraints of the project and the appropriate tools/techniques used in implementation are completely and thoroughly identified. | * Proposed solution is somewhat logical and workable within the given timeline. * Methodology explains the main points correctly but misses the details or is verbose. * The main points in implementation are discussed with some constraints identified and appropriate tools/techniques are briefly discussed. | * Proposed solution is illogical, unjustifiable and/or not workable within the given timeline. * Methodology is vague, misses main points and/or irrelevant. * The implementation misses some main points, and major constraints and/or tools/techniques   are incorrectly identified/missing. |
| Chapter # 05  Results and  Discussion    Weight: 20% | * Well formulated   Experiments are performed to verify or refute claims made in problem statement.   * A concise, related and detailed discussion of the results is given, leading to a irrefutable conclusion. | * Experiments are somewhat well designed but do not align well with the problem statement or test overall claims of project. * Somewhat related, but inconclusive discussion of the results is provided. | * Poorly formulated design and conduct of experiments. * Discussion is unclear, unrelated and/or illogical. |
| Chapter # 06  Conclusion and  Future Work    Weight: 10 % | * Conclusion establishes a clear connection between the previous sections and logically concludes the main thesis argument. * Future work is interesting, related and clearly described. | * Vague conclusions drawn, possibly missing some links with previous sections. * Future work is somewhat related, and/or missing a clear and concise description. | * Illogical conclusions, incoherent with previous sections. * Future work is unrelated and not clearly described at all. |
| Organization of  Report    Weight: 5% | * Report is well-organized rigorously following the template (e.g., correctly formulated TOC, figures, tables etc.). | * Report is adequately organized and somewhat consistent with the template. | * Report lacks an overall organization and inconsistent with the template. |
| \* Similarity index for the report, checked by the supervisor before final submission, must be less than 19% (per  HEC guidelines) | | | |